

EEG spectrum modulation during standing induced by optic flow and light finger touch

Takahiro Kagawa^(1,2) [t_kagawa@aitech.ac.jp], Makoto Miyakoshi⁽²⁾, Scott Makeig⁽²⁾, John Iversen⁽²⁾, Johanna Wagner⁽²⁾, Hiroyuki Kambara^(3,2), Natsue Yoshimura^(3,2), Hirokazu Tanaka^(4,2), Jianwu Dang⁽⁴⁾, Yoji Uno⁽⁵⁾ and Yasuharu Koike⁽³⁾

(1) Department of Mechanical Engineering, Aichi Institute of Technology, (2) Swartz center of Computational Neuroscience, University of California San Diego, (3) Institute of Innovative Research, Tokyo Institute of Technology, (4) School of Information Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, (5) Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering, Nagoya University

Contribution of vision in postural control

- Optic flow induces involuntary postural readjustment (Lestienne, 1977).
- The response of muscle activity for optic flow is fast (100-150 ms) (Nashner, 1978).

Contribution of somatosensory feedback

- Light finger touch suppresses postural sway (Jeka 1994).

MoBI: Mobile Brain/Body Imaging (Makeig, 2009)

- Simultaneous recording of dense-array EEG and behavioral data (Mocap, EMG, Force plate, etc) during natural unconstrained movements.
- Independent component analysis and source localization can separate signals of independent neural activities and other artifacts (EOG, eye-blink, EMG, etc).

Mobi for neural processing in visually-induced postural sway

Dorsal pathway in visual feedback
Motor command, Somatosensory feedback, Sensory integration, Visual information

Is the fast response for optic flow produced by the common dorsal pathway?

EEG: Biosemi 128 ch
EMG: Biosemi 32ch

Sinusoidal optic flow (0.2Hz)
Sinusoidal target Expanding (0.2 Hz)

Target COP indicator

No touching vs. Touching: The same visual stimulus, but different behaviors. Neural process for integration of somatosensory and visual inputs in relation to the self-motion perception.

No touching vs. COP tracking: Different task requirements, but similar behaviors. Different neural process between involuntary and voluntary postural movements.

Behavioral Results

Results of a typical subject

Optic flow velocity (COP target velocity)
Center of pressure
EMG (gastrocnemius muscle)

All subjects (11 male and 3 female subjects)

COP distance m
RMS EMG mV

- Optic flow induced activities of ankle muscles and increase of COP displacement.
- Light finger touch suppressed the EMG and postural sway.
- Similar behavior between "No touching" and "COP tracking"

EEG Results

Occipital lobe (Visual process)

Dipole location
Power spectrum
Time-frequency analysis (ERSP) baseline: no optic flow

Parietal lobe (Integration)

Dipole location
Power spectrum
Time-frequency analysis (ERSP) baseline: no optic flow

Frontal lobe (Motor process)

Dipole location
Power spectrum
Time-frequency analysis (ERSP) baseline: no optic flow

Spectrum modulation by optic flow

EEG oscillation reflects synchronized activities of large population of neurons. Modulation of alpha (8-13 Hz) and beta (14-30 Hz) band power related to the optic flow velocity and the postural sway could be captured by the MoBI framework.

No touching vs. Touching (sensory integration)

Behavioral data showed light-touch suppressed postural sway, but significant difference was found only in visual area

Touch information modulates the early stage of the visual process.

Thalamic nuclei convey diverse contextual information to layer 1 of visual cortex (Roth et al 2015).

Neural activities in parietal and motor area were similar among with and without touching conditions.

No touching vs. COP tracking (involuntary process)

Behavioral data were similar, but significant differences of EEG spectrum were found in motor, parietal and visual areas.

Some shortcut without motor preparation for fast response to optic flow